1 2 3 4	David J. Miclean (CSB# 115098/miclean@fr. Christina D. Jordan (CSB# 245944/cjordan@:FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 500 Arguello St., Suite 500 Redwood City, CA 94063 Telephone: (650) 839-5070 Facsimile: (650) 839-5071	
567	Attorneys for Defendants BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. and BANK OF AMERICA TECHNOLOGY AND OPERATIONS, INC.	
8 9 10 11	Robert A. Spanner, Esq. (CSB# 60308/ras@te TRIAL & TECHNOLOGY LAW GROUP A Professional Corporation 545 Middlefield Road, Suite 220 Menlo Park, CA 94025 Telephone: (650) 324-2223 Facsimile: (650) 324-0178	echtriallaw.com)
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff IP SOLUTIONS, INC.	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	(SAN JOSE DIVISION)	
17		
18	IP SOLUTIONS, INC., a Delaware corporation,	Case No. 07-CV-2774 (JF)
19	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
20	V.	CONTINUING THE PARTIES' CONFERENCE PURSUANT TO FED. R.
21	BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., a National	CIV. PROC. 26(f)
22	Banking Association Member; BANK OF AMERICA TECHNOLOGY AND	
23	OPERATIONS, INC., a Delaware	
24	corporation,	
25	Defendants.	
26		J
27	TO THE COURT, TO ALL PARTIES, AND TO THE PARTIES' ATTORNEYS OF	
28	RECORD:	

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between Plaintiff, IP SOLUTIONS,		
INC. ("Plaintiff"), and Defendants, BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. and BANK OF AMERICA		
TECHNOLOGY AND OPERATIONS, INC. ("Defendants"), that the parties' conference pursuant		
to Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 26(f), currently scheduled for August 10, 2007, be continued up to and until		
August 17, 2007.		
Currently nanding before the Court is a Joint Stipulation and Proposed Order Continuing the		

Currently pending before the Court is a Joint Stipulation and Proposed Order Continuing the Initial Case Management Conference, filed by the parties on August 3, 2007. In this Stipulation, the parties agreed that the Case Management Conference be continued by two (2) months from its originally-scheduled date of August 29, 2007, up to and until October 29, 2007. The parties desire to pursue settlement opportunities in this matter, and requested the continuance for the purpose of obtaining additional time in which to organize, schedule, and conduct mediation efforts with local JAMS mediators. A conference under Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 26(f) will not be necessary at this stage of the proceedings if the Court grants the stipulated continuance. Therefore, the parties desire to continue the 26(f) conference pending the Court's decision on the stipulated continuance.

This Stipulation is not entered into for purposes of delay, and will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by Court order. By entering into this Stipulation, neither Plaintiffs nor Defendants waive any rights, claims or defenses they may have in this action.

This Stipulation may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of which, when executed, shall be an original and all of which together shall constitute one and the same stipulation. This Stipulation contains the entire agreement among the parties. The undersigned hereby consent to the terms set forth in the foregoing Stipulation.

IT IS SO STIPULATED AND AGREED.

/// /// /// ///

///

Case 5:07-cv-02774-JF Document 15 Filed 08/10/2007

Page 3 of 4

1		<u>ORDER</u>
2	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
3		
4	Dated:,2007	
5		HONORABLE JEREMY FOGEL JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6		
7		
8	50431400.doc	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		4
	1	